

IRVINE, CA 92614

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 11/08/1999 ERIK J. VAN DER BURG MVMDINC.001C 5387 09/435,562 **EXAMINER** 20995 03/16/2005 7590 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP DAWSON, GLENN K 2040 MAIN STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT FOURTEENTH FLOOR

3731

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/435,562	VAN DER BURG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Glenn K Dawson	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ja	anuary 2005.	·	
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		:	
4) Claim(s) <u>38-45,51-98,101,106-109 and 129-13</u>	5 is/are pending in the app	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) <u>38-45,51-98,129 and 130</u> is/are allow			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>101,107-109 and 131-134</u> is/are reject	cted.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>106 and 135</u> is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	:	
Application Papers		:	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		:	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		polication No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•		
application from the International Bureau		received in this Hatierian etage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	eceived.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-12-2004</u> .	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3731

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01-07-2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 131-134 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cottonceau, et al.-5375612.

Cottonceau discloses a blood filter having a self-expanding wire frame of linked filaments and a membrane 9 formed of an absorbable suture thread which closes off the proximal end of the filter. See fig. 15 and col. 2 lines 8-35; col. 3 lines 19-32; col. 4 lines 33-39.

Application/Control Number: 09/435,562

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 101 and 107-109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chin, et al.-5928260 in view of Dubrul-6258115.

Application/Control Number: 09/435,562 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

Chin discloses a blood filter having embodiments with proximal and distal ends separated by a middle portion forming an apex when the filter is expanded to an enlarged configuration. See fig. 14f and fig. 17. A proximal portion of the frame is covered by a membrane which would allow for tissue ingrowth. However, the specific porosity of the membrane is not disclosed. Dubrul discloses that it was known to provide filter material having a porosity which could filter out 2-300 micron particles. It would have been obvious to have provided a filter material in the claimed porosity, as this would allow for the passage of blood therethrough and would allow for the blockage of particles in this size range which can be detrimental to a patient's health.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 38-45, 51-98,129 and 130 are allowed.

Claims 106 and 135 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a containment device having supports which move from a parallel configuration to an inclined orientation having an apex in the central portion and an endothelialization membrane on the device wherein the membrane has a membrane on each side of the supports and a bonding layer bonding the two layers together.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K Dawson whose telephone number is 703-308-4304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/435,562 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn K Dawson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3731

Gkd 15 March 2005